



Timestamp	Your Vendor	0. D.O. Development (1, 4)	1. Content	2. Site Structure	3. U.S. Users	4. S.4. Security	5. C.5. Other Required Timeline Considerations	Time Costs	Recurring Costs	Cost Considerations	Notes												
7/23/2008 12:50:43 etc	ActualizeStudio	4	0.1. Must make sure all of ActCMS is testable. WordPress is at least a strong platform. 0.5. Two servers is overkill, we could do it on one with both web and db.	4	1.5. and others: plugins, will they find and install the appropriate plugin?	2	WP certainly does not have "groups" as we would want them. This section really makes no mention of adding user group functions, so I don't think they would exist.	2	3.4. Cop out, this does not answer the question, and it is a very key requirement. 3.5. But admins in WP are global, not restricted to "areas" of the site. What does ActCMS do to resolve this?	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	35,000	4,000	7	WordPress is their best attribute. Not clear what else they offer.		
7/25/2008 11:46:33 jm	ActualizeStudio	5	5	5	5	4	4	34,000	1,800	Need to consider any additional site maintenance - \$75 per hour/hour block	9	4 AS believes that, if DLF staff provides the time and materials necessary, meeting the DLF proposed timeline is obtainable. The only area of concern outside of typical project development delays that AS would like to address going into the DLF CMS project regards handling the existing DLF site content.											
7/23/2008 13:00:42 etc	Cofnac Consulting	2	Drupal, good choice. Nothing else about this proposal shares enough detail to judge.	1	1	1	1	70,000	50,000	I think this one is ruled out on cost alone.	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	70,000	50,000	2	Large chunks of this proposal were boilerplate and had little relation to our specific needs. It was not structured in a way that helped us evaluate it against the RFP requirements.		
7/25/2008 12:12:29 jm	Cofnac Consulting	4	4	4	4	5	5	70,350	43,600	US\$ 33,600 @ US \$35 per hour for 960 hrs (80 hrs per month for 12 months) 10,000 annual hosting	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	70,350	43,600	8	Drupal's access control restrictions and user profiles will be helpful in meeting these requirements.		
7/23/2008 13:16:26 etc	digital loom	4	Drupal, good choice. Use of Google Analytics wise as well.	5	Drupal makes most of what we want a given	4	4	25,000	3,000	I anticipate some inflation of the costs over what they propose (about 20k for all options). Recurring costs could drop considerably after first year or so (get off the unlimited plan).	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	25,000	3,000	8	Their process sounds reasonable. Seem like good people to work with. Not sure how well they grasp the "working group" notion or the fact that working groups would each have their own little world on the DLF site.		
7/23/2008 13:20:03 KK	digital loom	2	Unclear exactly who will perform development and support tasks or what support contract would guarantee. No development team member names or skills are listed. The support models do not indicate response time that could be expected.	3	Responses to section 1 requirements are scattered throughout a description of Drupal functionality. It's a bit hard to tell how specifically the proposed solution would meet the section 1 requirements.	4	Response mostly details functions of Drupal but shows understanding of requirements.	4	Drupal technology seems to meet the requirements. digital loom response shows understanding of requirement.	3	Outsourced hosting solution seems to meet industry standard security requirements	2	Web form support limited and inflexible. Up to 3 one page forms.	3	Would want references to comment on ability to meet timeline. Hard to tell from the proposal.	40,500	2,320	(Plus Hosting) Seem reasonable. Hosting needs fleshing out, otherwise potential for hidden costs seems minimal.	5	40,500	2,320	5	Responses to most specific questions, although not organized by RFP number—but rather by Drupal functionality. Seem strong on design. Reliance on Google analytics for site statistics is a concern.
7/25/2008 12:43:34 jm	digital loom	5	5	4	The CMS, Drupal meets these requirements.	5	5	44,380	780	In person training will not exceed \$600	7	2	Estimated turnaround is 16-20 weeks - exceeds DLF Timeline										
7/28/2008 5:42:56 BH	digital loom	4	* Why does "do nothing" regarding dealing with our legacy content cost \$800? * Do a little research on RackSpace	3	* On "skin editing" they weren't specific on how to handle new content, just legacy stuff * Didn't talk about repurposed URLs in del.icio.us, etc. * Not explicit about open crawls of our content, but implied by Google Stats mention	2	* Didn't mention contacts area * Lightly discussed archiving function * Didn't mention sidebar navigation	4	* Didn't explicitly mention "see user profiles," perhaps implied by use of Drupal	2	* Answered to access issues, but not tagging	3	* Didn't talk about orphan pages * Didn't talk about load speed * Didn't talk about user friendliness	2	* If started today and lasted 16 weeks, they'd finish just in time for the Forum but not when we want it stated in RFP * Didn't talk about load speed * Didn't talk about user friendliness	42,140	920	The "One Time Costs" figure includes digital loom's Total + Content Migration (middle option), but we didn't specifically ask for Graphic Design, so I wonder if we could save \$4,560. I don't know if this is a misunderstanding caused by DLF not articulating enough that it didn't want to change the brand of the site, or if they're using Graphic Design as a catch-all term for mocking up wireframes and adding features to the existing template to include the additional functionality we're after.	8	42,140	920	8	There were many sections above where I didn't give high marks, but I think the overall proposal is stronger than requirements of others. Even though some items weren't specifically addressed, I feel it's more of an assumption on their part that they know something about Drupal and how it addresses some of the desired features by default. I liked the brevity of their proposal, and the way they "rephrased" our RFP criteria instead of working through the list item-per-item. Overall one of my top three preferences. Well executed project. Strong portfolio. Their pricing of us... may be a bit heavy in terms of their process.
7/23/2008 14:36:15 etc	eCoreXperience	5	Another Drupal! Very good descriptions of how Drupal meets requirements.	5	Good ack of what they can't do, such as para level comment.	4	Specific ideas on how to use Drupal. Good thinking. Some things may be a bit forced in Drupal. Not a very clear idea of how to build "working group" sub-sites.	4	Not clear how "user levels" will support flexible "groups". They say it can be done, but count on some disappointment here.	5	Drupal sounds strong here.	4	No real state link or orphan reports. Strong words on accessibility. On the fly skin change explicitly supported.	4	May need verification of when/what, but they seem to think they can meet the timeline. That's a lot of work in a short time. In fact, given DLF staff focus on Forum, and this vendor expectation of working with staff, we might have to ask for a slower timeline.	45,000	2,500	Going with dedicated server is considerably more expensive, probably bringing the recurring up to 7000 or so. Dreamhost is a solid host to be considering. They seem to recommend (pg 18) shared hosting. Overall price is a bit on the high side, but this also seems like a very well organized operation for this price. Good project insights (pg 19) Nice process plan.	9	45,000	2,500	9	Well executed project. Strong portfolio. Their pricing of us... may be a bit heavy in terms of their process.
7/23/2008 15:50:59 KK	eCoreXperience	5	5	5	5	5	5	43,715	7,200	Hosting looks like \$395 per month. Less clear how much other support would be needed. 2 hours per month is what I estimated.	9	4	Again, this is a question for reference. It does appear they have adequate staff and skill sets to meet an aggressive implementation schedule.										
7/25/2008 13:16:13 jm	eCoreXperience	4	Does not offer hosting, but recommends Dreamhost	5	Requirements achieved through Drupal features	4	It seems as though they have really evaluated how our current structure and understands its problems. Drupal natively supports a tiered navigation system, with main menu and at least two levels of sub-navigation.	5	The Drupal Content Management Framework provides the ability to create individual user profiles and to assign those users to multiple groups	5	The Drupal Content Management Framework provides the ability to create security levels which can be organized for access to specific content and content types	5	Will detect orphan pages and dead links. Will adhere to 508 and WCAG. CoreXperience adheres to the standards of 508 and WCAG.	4		43,715	(hosting)	9	43,715	(hosting)	9	Proposal was definitely tailored to DLF's requirements. In all categories, they provided specific methods by which the proposed solution met DLF requirements. I thought their proposed implementation process was very strong but it would require a high level of engagement by DLF. This proposal was the best of the bunch.	
7/28/2008 6:00:00 BH	eCoreXperience	4	* Research Dreamhost \$120/hr for MOD, or \$105/hr for subscription maintenance - are these prices high?	5	Thorough	5	5	43,715	1,300	Of my three preferences, the highest priced	9	4	Being skeptical, why did a majority of other proposals think our timeframe unrealistic but eCoreXperience says they can do it in that timeframe?										
7/23/2008 14:43:26 etc	etsibeW	1	Drupal w/ MediaWiki. Why both?	1	1	1	1	36,000	30,000	Very high recurring costs proposed. Showstoppingly high.	1	3											
7/25/2008 13:49:19 jm	etsibeW	4	4	4	4	5	The basic Users types of the website are Staff, Member, Member Admin, Super Admin and Public. Each will have specific access controls.	4	Includes User Role Access Management to assign access permissions to Users to View/Add/Update the content of the website.	Will have detection of Orphan pages & State links.	4		36,800	9,000	Monthly technical support ranges from 1600-4800 per month	8	36,800	9,000	8	Monthly technical support ranges from 1600-4800 per month			
7/23/2008 14:51:50 etc	FuseIdeas	Joomla. Similar to Drupal in many ways, but not as widely adopted in library world.	4	4	4	4	4	132,000	3,000	(Plus hosting) separate hosting proposal, but it is not included. In any case, I think the development costs put them out of our league.	4	4	A realistic read of the timeline, not to be downgraded for that. The proposed mid-December completion.										
7/24/2008 13:31:32 jm	FuseIdeas	3	They were not able to address hosting, which they said would be addressed in a separate agreement.	4	FuseIdeas says they can meet the requirements, but does not explicitly address in a couple CMS options.	3	They did not have a response other than they could meet the requirements.	3	They did not have a response other than they could meet the requirements.	3	They did not have a response other than they could meet the requirements.	3	They did not have a response other than they could meet the requirements.	2	They extended the timeline. However, it would be nice to have this completed before the fall forum.	131,490		Not sure, but hosting and CMS costs may need to be considered.	6	131,490		6	Not sure, but hosting and CMS costs may need to be considered.
7/23/2008 14:55:05 etc	Global Intelligence Technology	1	1	1	1	1	1	100,000	36,000	Um, I think they underestimate our ability to pay! Out of our league.	1	3											
7/24/2008 13:57:26 jm	Global Intelligence Technology	4	4	4	4	5	5	101,640		(hosting) Any changes from the documented Use Cases and Report Formats will impact the fixed price costs.	8	3	Any changes from the documented Use Cases and Report Formats will impact the fixed price costs. Other than that, they don't mention the timeline anywhere else in the proposal.										
7/23/2008 16:00:25 KK	hidef	3	3	3	3	3	3	48,750	174		5	3											
7/25/2008 10:32:54 jm	hidef	4	4	4	4	5	5	46,400			4	2	Final Completion December 8										
7/25/2008 13:54:52 etc	hidef	4	4	4	4	4	4	47,000	5,000	Reasonable process outline. The whole thing was very loose on the details, so though I feel favorable about it, I am not very confident. Note, T.J. asked (and answered) best on our RFP page. He was listening.	7	4	Careful thought to timeline, including two-phase development that tries to be sensitive to Forum timing.										
7/28/2008 6:14:02 BH	hidef	4	4	4	4	4	4	36,100	2,100	I realize there is a Phase II development and implementation cost, but I'm not sure why this is priced differently and if I would take the five weeks they've allotted for it. Consequently it's left out of my "One Time Costs" figure above.	9	4	Longer than what we asked for.										
7/25/2008 11:12:32 jm	SierraBravo	5	5	4	4	4	4	58,320	720	(hosting)	7	2	The only requirement addressed in section 5 that I noticed was the form builder feature.										
7/25/2008 14:00:47 etc	SierraBravo	2	Nice statement on OSS.	2	2	2	2	60,000	3,500	Got lost in the "travo blocks".	3	3	They say they can meet the timeline, but say nothing more. Not sure how well considered this was.										
7/25/2008 11:33:57 jm	Symbiosys Technologies	5	Meets all of the requirements and details how they will meet or exceed these requirements.	4	4	4	4	38,000	4,320	(hosting)	7	4											
7/25/2008 14:11:25 etc	Symbiosys Technologies	2	Development based in India, supported by Texas. No indication of platform beyond PHP/MySQL. Speakeasy is a strong host. Support cost in 0.5 differs from cost at end of proposal.	2	2	2	2	45,000	6,000	They offer a 20% discount, if we ask for it.	1	2											

Initials	Vendor	n	0	1	2	3	4	5	Tin	Ove	Onetime	Recurrin	Three Ye
efc	ActualizeStudio	4	4	2	2	1	0	3	7		35,000	4,000	47,000
jm	ActualizeStudio	5	5	4	5	4	0	4	9		34,000	1,800	39,400
efc	Cofnac Consulting	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2		70,000	50,000	220,000
jm	Cofnac Consulting	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	8		70,350	43,600	201,150
BH	digital loom	4	3	2	4	2	3	2	8		42,140	920	44,900
efc	digital loom	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	8		25,000	3,000	34,000
jm	digital loom	5	4	5	4	5	4	2	7		44,380	780	46,720
KK	digital loom	2	3	4	4	3	2	3	5		40,500	2,320	47,460
BH	eCoreXperience	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	9		43,715	1,300	47,615
efc	eCoreXperience	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	9		45,000	2,500	52,500
jm	eCoreXperience	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	9		43,715	0	43,715
KK	eCoreXperience	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	9		43,715	7,200	65,315
efc	etisbeW	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1		36,000	30,000	126,000
jm	etisbeW	0	4	4	5	4	0	4	8		35,800	9,000	62,800
efc	Fuseideas	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4		132,000	3,000	141,000
jm	Fuseideas	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	6		131,490	0	131,490
efc	Global Intelligence T	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1		100,000	36,000	208,000
jm	Global Intelligence T	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	8		101,640	0	101,640
BH	hidef	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	9		36,100	2,100	42,400
efc	hidef	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7		47,000	5,000	62,000
jm	hidef	4	4	5	4	5	4	2	9		46,400	0	46,400
KK	hidef	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5		46,750	174	47,272
efc	SierraBravo	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3		60,000	3,500	70,500
jm	SierraBravo	5	4	4	4	5	2	4	7		58,320	720	60,480
efc	Symbiosys Technolc	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	1		45,000	6,000	63,000
jm	Symbiosys Technolc	5	4	4	0	0	4	4	7		36,000	4,320	48,960



Vendor	Section 0	Section 1	Section 2	Section 3	Section 4	Section 5	Timeline	Overall	Three Year
eCoreXperience	18	20	18	19	19	19	16	18	52,286
hidef	15	15	16	15	16	15	13	15	49,518
digital loom	15	15	15	17	14	13	11	14	43,270
ActualizeStudio	9	9	6	7	5	0	7	8	43,200
Cofnac Consulti	6	5	5	6	6	5	5	5	210,575
Fuseideas	3	4	3	3	3	3	6	5	136,245
SierraBravo	7	6	6	6	7	4	7	5	65,490
etisbeW	1	5	5	6	5	1	7	4.5	94,400
Global Intelliger	5	5	5	6	6	5	6	4.5	154,820
Symbiosys Tecl	7	6	4	2	2	6	6	4	55,980



Vendor	efc	jm	KK	BH
eCoreXperience	9	9	9	9
hidef	7	9	5	9
digital loom	8	7	5	8
ActualizeStudio	7	9		
Cofnac Consulting	2	4		
Fuseideas	4	6		
SierraBravo	3	7		
etisbeW	1	8		
Global Intelligence Technology	1	8		
Symbiosys Technologies	1	7		

